388 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 CONSTELLATION :CIVIL ACTION NEWENERGY, INC. 5 v . POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, : 6 INC, A-VALEY ENGINEERS, INC., and 7 LOTHAR E.S. BUDIKE, JR.: NO.02-CV-2733 (HB) 8 JANUARY 13, 2004 9 VOLUME II CONFIDENTIAL 10 11 Continuing videotape deposition of 12 LOTHAR E.S. BUDIKE, JR, held in the offices of Wolf, Block, Schorr & 13 14 Solis-Cohen, 1650 Arch Street, 15 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, 16 commencing at 10:00 a.m., on the above 17 date, before Amanda Dee Maslynsky-Miller, 18 a Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of 19 20 Pennsylvania. 21 22 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES 23 1880 John F. Kennedy Boulevard 15th Floor 24 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

497 1 Interactive, MV Web, Powerspring. 2 At least as Silicon Energy 3 is concerned, all I can tell you is the meta-data indicates to us that this was 4 5 written on October 9th, 2000. Maybe that 6 date's wrong, but that's what the 7 meta-data told us. 8 But whenever this document 9 was written, Silicon Energy was claiming 10 it had those features on Page 2, is 11 that -- is that what this is telling us? 12 MR. GARCIA: Objection. I 13 think you're asking him to 14 interpret a document that somebody 15 else created. And you're asking 16 him to assume that it was all --17 all this information was put on 18 the document at the same time. 19 BY MR. LANDAU: 20 Well, what does the Q. 21 checkmark in the column labeled "Silicon 22 Energy" indicate to you? 23 Well, the checkmark is

defined above, and it says, "Offered but

24

- 1 | with limitations on scope or content, "
- 2 which means to me that they're claiming
- 3 | it, but there's no way to verify whether
- 4 the scope of it is operational or the
- 5 | content is operational.
- Q. And a double checkmark means
- 7 | full service available?
- A. If it's double checkmarked,
- 9 | then it -- it means that it could be full
- 10 | service available.
- 11 Again, we don't have access
- 12 to any of the -- this is what people are
- 13 | telling us in the industry. We don't
- 14 have access to their software.
- Q. Separate and apart from this
- 16 document, do you have any recollection of
- 17 being aware in the fall of 2000 that
- 18 | Silicon Energy was -- had ability -- had
- 19 ability to monitor and trend realtime
- 20 | energy use data?
- 21 A. Yes. For that particular
- 22 application, yes.
- Q. When did you first become
- 24 aware that Silicon Energy had that

501 1 know whether Silicone had software that 2 did this back in 19 -- back in 2000? 3 Have you since learned anything? I don't know. I don't know. 4 Α. 5 I mean, what I -- what I 6 know -- what I recollect as it pertains 7 to Silicon Energy is that they had no 8 software when I was interacting with 9 David Singer out of California. They 10 said that they were in contact with them, they were talking about some conceptual 11 12 ideas of the software, but there was no 13 software that existed. 14 Because that was one of my 15 There was no concern on the concerns. 16 east coast for Silicon Energy. My 17 concern was giving the information over 18 to David Singer for the west coast 19 because that's where Silicon Energy was 20 developed out of, the PG&E territory. 21 So at the time when asked to 22 David Singer, he represented to me that 23 Silicon Energy had not had any software 24 or the applications developed.